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## Outline

Introduction to the Functionalized Cahn-Hilliard Energy

Existence of Bilayer (Homoclinic solution) of the Functionalized Cahn-Hilliard Energy by Functional Analytical Approach

Existence of Bilayer by Lin's method for less degenerate class of perturbations

## Single Layer versus Bilayer



Single-layer can not:

- open up a pore;
- pearl the interface;


## Amphiphilic Mixture



Figure: [K. Promislow, 2013](Left) A typical lipid bilayer with polar head groups exposed and hydrophobic tails point inward toward the center line. (Right) A spherical liposome.

## Functionalized Cahn-Hilliard Energy

We define the quadratic functionalization of $\mathcal{F}$ related to the local balance $|\tilde{\eta}| \ll 1$ to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}(u)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta u}\right)^{2} d x-\tilde{\eta} \mathcal{E}(u) \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2}\left(-\varepsilon^{2} \Delta u+W^{\prime}(u)\right)^{2}-\tilde{\eta}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}+W(u)\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

over some appropriate subspace of $H^{2}(\Omega)$. Here $\mathcal{E}$ is the Cahn-Hilliard Energy and $W$ is a double well potential with wells at $b_{ \pm}$.


The long-time evolution of a mass-preserving projection gradient flow of the Functionalized Energy on a periodic domain $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ for $d \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{t}=-\mathcal{G} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta u} \\
& u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is positive, self-adjoint operator whose only kernel is the constant factor 1. Examples include the zero-mass projection $\Pi_{0}$,

$$
\Pi_{0} f:=f-\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} f(x) d x
$$

as well as the negative Laplacian $-\Delta$ subject to some mass-preserving boundary condition.

We are interested in the critical points of above equation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{G} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta u}=0 \\
& \mathcal{G}\left(\left(\varepsilon^{2} \Delta-W^{\prime \prime}(u)+\tilde{\eta}\right)\left(\varepsilon^{2} \Delta u-W^{\prime}(u)\right)\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

We look for flat interface co-dimension one bi-layer solutions $\Phi_{m}$,

$$
\left(\partial_{z}^{2}-W^{\prime \prime}\left(\Phi_{m}\right)+\tilde{\eta}\right)\left(\partial_{z}^{2} \Phi_{m}-W^{\prime}\left(\Phi_{m}\right)\right)=\theta
$$

- For $\theta=0$, there are single-layer heteroclinic solutions seen in the gradient flow of Cahn-Hilliard equation

$$
\phi^{\prime \prime}-W^{\prime}(\phi)=0
$$

- But for $0<|\theta| \ll 1$, the fourth order equation possesses a rich family of homoclinic solutions (bilayer solutions).


## Gradient flow of functionalized energy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{t}=-\mathcal{G} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta u}, \\
& u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\mathcal{G}=\frac{-\Delta}{1-\Delta}$.


Figure: [N. Gavish, G. Hayrapetyan, K. Promislow, L. Yang, 2010] Numerical Simulation for the evolution of the $\mathcal{G}$ FCH gradient flow

## Pearling interface for Amphiphilic Mixture



Figure: [J. Jones, 2013]Numerical simulation for the evolution of the $\mathcal{G}$ FCH gradient flow (Left) $T=1$ (Right) $T=20$

## Qualitative Comparison to Data



Figure: (Left)[N. Gavish, G. Hayrapetyan, K. Promislow, L. Yang, 2010] A 2D simulation of the FCH gradient flow with periodic boundary conditions for an $80 \%$ polymer (white) $20 \%$ solvent (dark) mixture starting from random initial data; (Right)[S. Jain, F. Bates, 2003] Amphiphilic di-block co-polymer mixtures of Polyethylene oxide and Polybutadiene.

## Assumption and Scaling for Functional Analytical Approach

(H1) The well potential $W$ is a smooth double well $W=P^{2}$ where $P$ is a convex function with transverse zeros at $b_{ \pm}$with $b_{-}<b_{+}, W\left(b_{ \pm}\right)=W^{\prime}\left(b_{ \pm}\right)=0$ and $\mu_{ \pm}:=W^{\prime \prime}\left(b_{ \pm}\right)>0$.
(S) Fix $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta<0$. Then our standard scaling is

$$
\tilde{\eta}=\eta \delta^{2}, \quad b=b_{-}+\delta^{2} \beta, \quad \text { for } 0<\delta \ll 1
$$

where $b$ is the background state of the homoclinic pulse.


## Functional Analytical Approach

The functional analytical approach is based upon the Newton type contraction mapping argument.
Basic Idea: It constructs the homoclinic solution $\Phi_{m}$ of the full system in the neighborhood of $\phi_{m}$, which is the homoclinic solution of a particular second-order differential equation,

$$
\phi_{m}^{\prime \prime}=G^{\prime}\left(\phi_{m}\right)
$$

where

$$
G(u ; \alpha, b)=W(u)-W(b)-W^{\prime}(b)(u-b)-\tilde{\eta} / 4(u-b)^{2}-\tilde{\eta} \alpha g(u ; b)
$$ a perturbation of the equal-depth double-well potential $W$.



## Degeneracy of the problem

Difficulty: the linearization of the full system about $\phi_{m}$ is degenerate.
Let $\phi_{h}$ the heteroclinic solution of

$$
\phi_{h}^{\prime \prime}=W^{\prime}\left(\phi_{h}\right)
$$

which connects the two minima $b_{ \pm}$of $W$. Linearizing the full system around $\phi_{h}$ yields $\mathcal{L}_{h}:=\left(L_{h}+\tilde{\eta}\right) L_{h}$, where $L_{h}:=\partial_{z}^{2}-W^{\prime \prime}\left(\phi_{h}\right)$.


$\square$

## Degeneracy of the problem

Linearizing the full system around $\phi_{m}$ yields $\mathcal{L}_{m}:=\left(L_{m}+\tilde{\eta}\right) L_{m}$, where $L_{m}:=\partial_{z}^{2}-W^{\prime \prime}\left(\phi_{m}\right)$.


The degeneracy is related to the small eigenvalue. Removing this degeneracy is the main effort of the contraction mapping construction.

## Functional Analytical Approach

After integration by parts, shifting the potential and adding the tilt, we obtain the shifted energy,

$$
\mathcal{H}(u)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon^{2} \Delta u-G_{0}^{\prime}(u)\right)^{2}+p(u) d x
$$

where $G_{0}(u)=W(u)-W(b)-W^{\prime}(b)(u-b)-\tilde{\eta} / 4(u-b)^{2}$.
Relation: $\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta u}=\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta u}-\theta$, where $\theta=W^{\prime}(b)\left(W^{\prime \prime}(b)-\tilde{\eta}\right)$.
We introduce a "tilt" parameter (Modica-Mortola parameter) $\alpha$ that tunes the shape of the potential,

$$
G(u ; \alpha, b)=G_{0}(u ; b)-\delta \alpha g(u ; b)
$$

where $g(u ; b)=\int_{b}^{u} \sqrt{W\left(t-b+b_{-}\right)} d t$. Then $\mathcal{H}$ can be written,

$$
\mathcal{H}(u)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon^{2} \Delta u-G^{\prime}(u)-\delta \alpha g^{\prime}(u)\right)^{2}+p(u) d x
$$

## Reduced problem and Full problem

$\phi_{m}=\phi_{m}(z ; \alpha)$ is the homoclinic solution of the second-order differential equation,

$$
\phi_{m}^{\prime \prime}=G^{\prime}\left(\phi_{m} ; \alpha\right),
$$

which is homoclinic to $b$ and symmetric about $z=0$.

$\Phi_{m}=\Phi_{m}(z ; \delta, \eta, \beta)$ is the homoclinic solution of the fourth-order differential equation,

$$
\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta u}\left(\Phi_{m}\right)=0 .
$$

Relation: $\quad \Phi_{m}=\phi_{m}\left(z ; \alpha_{*}(\delta ; \beta, \eta)\right)+O\left(\delta^{2}\right)$ in $H^{4}$

## Main Theorem

Theorem 1
Let the potential $W$ satisfying (H1) be given. Let $\tilde{\eta}, \beta$ be given by the scaling ( $S$ ) and $\eta, \beta$ satisfy

$$
\left(H_{2}\right) \quad\left|A_{1}^{h} \beta+A_{2}^{h} \eta\right|>\nu \delta^{\omega}
$$

for some $\nu>0, \omega>0$ independent of $\delta$ only depends on $W$. The constants $A_{1}^{h}$ and $A_{2}^{h}$ depend only upon the heteroclinic orbit $\phi_{h}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}^{h}:=-\frac{9}{2} \mu_{+}^{\frac{5}{2}}\left(b_{+}-b_{-}\right)+3\left(W^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\phi_{h}\right)\left(\phi_{h}-b_{-}\right),\left(\phi_{h}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)_{2} \\
& A_{2}^{h}:=\left(W^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\phi_{h}\right)\left(\phi_{h}-b_{-}\right),\left(\phi_{h}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then there exists a solution $\Phi_{m}$ of full system admits the following expansion

$$
\Phi_{m}=\phi_{m}\left(z ; \alpha_{*}(\delta ; \beta, \eta)\right)+O\left(\delta^{2}\right)
$$

in $H^{4}$ where $\phi_{m}$ is the corresponding solution of the second-order differential equation with $\alpha_{*}=\alpha_{*}(\delta ; \beta, \eta)$.

## Main Theorem



- Conjecture : High order Melnikov integral $A_{1}^{h}, A_{2}^{h}$ is related to an orbit-flip condition in the fourth-order system.
- $\alpha_{*}$ has the expression

$$
\alpha_{*}(\delta ; \beta, \eta)=\sqrt{-\frac{\mu_{+}^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(b_{+}-b_{-}\right) \beta}{\sqrt{2} g\left(b_{+}\right)}}+O(\sqrt{\delta})
$$

## Outline of Proof of Main Theorem

We want to show that for $\delta$ small enough, we can generate a solution of the Euler-Lagrange via a modified Newton's method initiated at $\phi_{m}$, where $\phi_{m}$ is the homoclinic solution of the second order problem. We define the Newton map,

$$
N(u)=u-\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^{-1}(F(u)),
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}=\frac{\delta^{2} \mathcal{H}}{\delta u^{2}}\left(\phi_{m}(z ; \alpha)\right), \quad F(u)=\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta u} .
$$

## Analysis of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}$

Expand $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}= & L_{\alpha}^{2}+\delta \alpha\left(G^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\phi_{m}\right) g^{\prime}\left(\phi_{m}\right)-g^{\prime \prime}\left(\phi_{m}\right) L_{\alpha}-L_{\alpha} g^{\prime \prime}\left(\phi_{m}\right)\right) \\
& +\delta^{2}\left(\alpha^{2} g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\phi_{m}\right) g^{\prime}\left(\phi_{m}\right)+\alpha^{2} g^{\prime \prime}\left(\phi_{m}\right)^{2}+p_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(\phi_{m}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
L_{\alpha}=\partial_{z z}-G^{\prime \prime}\left(\phi_{m}\right)
$$

In order to know spectrum of $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}$, we need to know the spectrum of $L_{\alpha}$ first.


## Analysis of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}$

$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}$ is an $O(\delta)$, relatively compact perturbation of the operator $L_{\alpha}^{2}$, it has two small eigenvalues, which we denote

$$
\Lambda_{0}=\underbrace{\lambda_{0}^{2}+O\left(\delta^{2}\right)}_{O\left(\delta^{2}\right)}, \Lambda_{1}=O(\delta)
$$

with eigenfunctions

$$
\Psi_{0}=\psi_{0}+O(\delta), \Psi_{1}=\psi_{1}+O(\delta)
$$

$\Psi_{0}$ is even about $z=0$ and $\Psi_{1}$ is odd about $z=0$.


## Conditioning of the Newton map

$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}$ has two eigenvalues near zero. In order to invert $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}$ for Newton map, we need a tuning parameter, $\alpha$, Modica-Mortola parameter.

- For $\Lambda_{1}=O(\delta)$ with eigenfunction $\Psi_{1}$

Since $\Psi_{1}$ is odd function, then by even-odd symmetry,

$$
\left(F\left(\phi_{m}\right), \Psi_{1}\right)_{2}=0
$$

- For $\Lambda_{2}=O\left(\delta^{2}\right)$ with eigenfunction $\Psi_{0}$ Does there exist tilt $\alpha_{*}=\alpha_{*}(\delta ; \beta, \eta)$,

$$
\left(F\left(\phi_{m}\left(., \alpha_{*}\right)\right), \Psi_{0}\left(., \alpha_{*}\right)\right)_{2}=0 ?
$$

Answer: Yes.

## Sketch of Proof of Main Theorem

- There exists $\alpha_{*}=\alpha_{*}(\delta ; \beta, \eta)$ such that $\phi_{m}^{*}:=\phi\left(\cdot, \alpha_{*}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\left(F\left(\phi_{m}^{*}\right), \Psi_{0}\left(., \alpha_{*}\right)\right)_{2}=0
$$

Introduce

$$
B_{\rho}^{*}=\left\{u-b \in H_{e}^{4}(\mathbb{R}) \mid\left\|u-\left(\phi_{m}^{*}-\xi_{*}\right)\right\|_{H^{4}} \leq \rho \delta^{5 / 2}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\xi_{*}=\mathcal{L}_{\alpha^{*}}^{-1} F\left(\phi_{m}^{*}\right)=O\left(\delta^{2}\right) .
$$

- There exists $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}>0$ such that for any $u \in B_{\rho_{1}}^{*}$ there exists a unique $\alpha=\alpha(u ; \beta, \eta)$ satisfying $\left|\alpha-\alpha_{*}\right|<\rho_{2} \delta^{2}$ such that

$$
\left(F\left(\phi_{m}(., \alpha)\right), \Psi_{0}(., \alpha)\right)_{2}=0
$$

- Newton map $N(u)=u-\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}{ }^{-1}(F(u))$ is a contraction mapping on $B_{\rho}^{*}$.


## Dynamical Systems Approach (Lin's method)

In the view of dynamical system way, we rewrite our problem as a one-parameter family of vector fields

$$
\dot{x}=f(x, \theta)
$$

where $x=\left(u, u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}, u^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)^{T}$ and $f: \mathbb{R}^{4} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4}$ is smooth. For $\theta=0$ we have the heteroclinic connections between two equilibriums $p_{1}=\left(b_{-}, 0,0,0\right)^{T}$ and $p_{2}=\left(b_{+}, 0,0,0\right)^{T}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{z \rightarrow-\infty} q_{1}(z)=p_{1}, \lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} q_{1}(z)=p_{2} \\
& \lim _{z \rightarrow-\infty} q_{2}(z)=p_{1}, \lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} q_{2}(z)=p_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The system is reversible, that is symmetric under the transformation $z \mapsto-z$.


For $\theta=0$

$$
q_{1}(z)=\left(\phi_{h}(z), \phi_{h}^{\prime}(z), \phi_{h}^{\prime \prime}(z), \phi_{h}^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)\right)^{T}
$$

where $\phi_{h}$ is the heteroclinic solution of the second order problem $\phi^{\prime \prime}=W^{\prime}(\phi)$. Symmetrically there is another heteroclinic connection

$$
q_{2}(z)=\left(\phi_{h}(-z),-\phi_{h}^{\prime}(-z), \phi_{h}^{\prime \prime}(-z),-\phi_{h}^{\prime \prime \prime}(-z)\right)^{T} .
$$

## Spectrum of $D_{x} f\left(p_{i}, \theta\right)$ under scaling (S)

(S) Fix $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta<0$. $\tilde{\eta}=\eta \delta^{2}, b=b_{-}+\beta \delta^{2}$, for $0<\delta \ll 1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta & =\left(W^{\prime \prime}(b)-\tilde{\eta}\right) W^{\prime}(b) \\
& =\mu_{-}\left(\mu_{-}-\tilde{\eta}\right) \beta \delta^{2}+O\left(\delta^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



- Jordan Block Structure of the eigenvalue of $D_{x} f\left(p_{i}, \theta\right)$ for $\delta=0$;
- for $\delta \neq 0$ Jordan Block unfolds smoothly in $\delta$ forming real eigenvalues which perturb at $O\left(\delta^{2}\right)$.


## Orbit Flip Condition



Figure: Depiction of the stable manifold of the equilibrium of a homoclinic orbit under an orbit flip bifurcation.

Conjecture: condition (H2) is equivalent to the orbit-flip condition. It is precisely when the second-order system is different to the fourth-order system. We avoid this via Lin's method by changing the scaling.

## Spectrum of $D_{x} f\left(p_{i}, \theta\right)$ under scaling (S')

(S') Fix $\tilde{\eta}, \beta$ such that $-\min \left\{\mu_{ \pm}\right\}<\tilde{\eta}<0$ and $\beta<0 . b=b_{-}+\beta \delta^{2}$, for $0<\delta \ll 1 . \tilde{\eta}, \beta$ are independent of $\delta$ and $\tilde{\eta}$ is not small.


For $\theta=0$, the stable and unstable manifolds $W^{s}\left(p_{i}\right)$ and $W^{u}\left(p_{i}\right)$, $i=1,2$ for our system are two-dimensional. Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{q_{1}(0)} W^{u}\left(p_{1}\right) \cap T_{q_{2}(0)} W^{s}\left(p_{2}\right) & =\operatorname{span}\left\{\dot{q}_{1}(0)\right\} \\
T_{q_{2}(0)} W^{u}\left(p_{2}\right) \cap T_{q_{1}(0)} W^{s}\left(p_{1}\right) & =\operatorname{span}\left\{\dot{q}_{2}(0)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Introduce the subspace $Z_{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{R}^{4}=Z_{1} \oplus\left(T_{q_{1}(0)} W^{u}\left(p_{1}\right)+T_{q_{1}(0)} W^{s}\left(p_{2}\right)\right), \\
& \mathbb{R}^{4}=Z_{2} \oplus\left(T_{q_{2}(0)} W^{u}\left(p_{2}\right)+T_{q_{2}(0)} W^{s}\left(p_{1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark that $\operatorname{dim}\left(Z_{i}\right)=1$. We construct the section planes $\Sigma_{i}$ which are transverse to $q_{i}(z)$ at some point $q_{i}(0)$.

## Lin's heteroclinic orbit construction

- (Step One) Construct the perturbed heteroclinic orbits $q_{i}^{ \pm}$ near $q_{i}$ that solves the full system up to the jump in $\Sigma_{i}$ along $Z_{i}$. Moreover, it satisfies
(Q1) $q_{i}^{ \pm}(z ; \theta)$ are close to $q_{i}(z)$.
(Q2) $\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} q_{1}^{+}(z ; \theta)=p_{2}, \lim _{z \rightarrow-\infty} q_{1}^{-}(z ; \theta)=p_{1}$.
(Q3) $\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} q_{2}^{+}(z ; \theta)=p_{1}, \lim _{z \rightarrow-\infty} q_{2}^{-}(z ; \theta)=p_{2}$.
(Q4) $q_{i}^{ \pm}(0 ; \theta) \in \Sigma_{i}$.
(Q5) $\xi_{i}^{\infty}(\theta) \psi_{i}:=q_{i}^{+}(0 ; \theta)-q_{i}^{-}(0 ; \theta) \in Z_{i}$.

the jump estimate $\xi_{i}^{\infty}(\theta)$ have the expression

$$
\xi_{i}^{\infty}(\theta)=M_{i} \theta+O\left(\theta^{2}\right)
$$

where the Melnikov integral $M_{i}$ is defined

$$
M_{i}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_{i}(s) D_{\theta} f\left(q_{i}(s), 0\right) d s \neq 0
$$

where $\psi_{i}(z)=T_{i}^{*}(z, 0) \psi_{i}$. Here $T_{i}(z, s)$ denotes the transition matrix of $\dot{v}=D_{x} f\left(q_{i}(z), 0\right) v$ and $\psi_{i}$ spans $Z_{i}$.

## Lin's homoclinic orbit construction

- (Step Two) Construct the Lin's orbits $x_{i}^{ \pm}$near $q_{i}^{ \pm}$and it solves the full system up to the jump. These orbits have the prescribed flying time $2 \omega$ from $\Sigma_{1}$ to $\Sigma_{2}$. Moreover, it satisfies
(L1) $x_{i}^{ \pm}(z ; \theta)$ are close to $q_{i}^{ \pm}$.
(L2) $x_{i}^{+}(0 ; \theta)-x_{i}^{-}(0 ; \theta) \in Z_{i}$.
(L3) $x_{1}^{-}(-\infty)=x_{2}^{+}(\infty)$ and $x_{1}^{+}(\omega)=x_{2}^{-}(-\omega)$.



## Estimates for the Jump

We derive an expression for the jump

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{i}(\theta, \omega) & :=<\psi_{i}, x_{i}^{+}(\theta, \omega)(0)-x_{i}^{-}(\theta, \omega)(0)> \\
& =\xi_{i}^{\infty}(\theta)+\xi_{i}^{\omega}(\theta), \quad i=1,2
\end{aligned}
$$

- heteroclinic jump has the expansion

$$
\xi_{i}^{\infty}(\theta)=M_{i} \theta+O\left(\theta^{2}\right)
$$

- difference between the heteroclinic jump and homoclinic jump

$$
\xi_{i}^{\omega}(\theta)=\xi_{i}(\theta, \omega)-\xi_{i}^{\omega}(\theta)
$$

## Solving the Bifurcation Equation

To obtain the homoclinic orbit, we require the jumps to be zero, i.e., $\xi_{1}(\theta, \omega)=0$ which by the symmetry property of the system also implies $\xi_{2}=0$.
We also derive the leading order term of $\xi_{1}(\omega, \theta)$

$$
\xi_{1}(\omega, \theta)=M_{1} \theta+c^{u}(\theta) e^{-2 \omega \lambda_{2}^{u}(\theta)}+o\left(e^{-2 \omega \lambda_{2}^{u}(\theta)}\right),
$$

where $\lambda_{2}^{\mu}(\theta)=\sqrt{\mu_{+}}$and the function $c^{u}(\cdot)$ is smooth and $c^{u}(0) \neq 0$. Solving the bifurcation equation $\xi_{1}=0$ we have at the leading order

$$
\omega=-\frac{\ln \left(\frac{-M_{1} \theta}{c^{u}(0)}\right)}{2 \lambda_{2}^{\mu}(0)}+o(\omega)
$$

In order to make $-M_{1} \theta / c^{u}(0)>0$ we have to choose $\beta<0$.

## Main Theorem



Theorem 2
Let $\eta, b$ and double well $W$ be given and satisfy (H1) and (S'). Then there exists $\delta_{0}>0$ such that for all $0<\delta<\delta_{0}$, there exists a homoclinic solution $\Phi_{m}$ which is homoclinic to $b$.

## Connection between these two methods

Functional Analysis Method

- sharp characterization of the homoclinic solution of full system in terms of the homoclinic solution of second order problem
- indentifies a nondegeneracy condition (H2) - (Orbit Flip?)
- Contraction Mapping argument

Dynamical System Method

- existence of homoclinic solution in the neighborhood of the heteroclinic chain of full problem
- we didn't permit $\tilde{\eta}$ to scale with $\delta$.
- Lin's method based upon Lyapunov-Schmidt method
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